

Applicant : Kamyar Ghandi, Nesbitt W. Hagood, IV  
and Aaron A. Bent  
Serial No. : 09/986,205  
Filed : October 19, 2001  
Page : 6

Attorney's Docket No.: 10722-007001

#### REMARKS

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-10 as indefinite. In particular, the Examiner states that claim 1 fails to "define a method of extracting electrical from mechanical motion" because "the method step involves no actual extraction of electricity at all." Applicants traverse the Examiner's rejection. However, to expedite prosecution, Applicants have amended claim 1.

The Examiner states that claims 2-10 are "confusing." Applicants traverse the Examiner's rejection. However, to expedite prosecution, Applicants have amended claim 2.

Claims 11-19 have been added and are supported by the specification. No new matter is added.